

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/976,443	10/12/2001	Ronald E. Sloan	60021.376002	2727
29838	7590 06/22/2005		EXAM	INER
	MER WOLFF & DON	HARBECK, TIMOTHY M		
PLAZA VII, 1 45 SOUTH S	SUITE 3300 EVENTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	LIS, MN 55402-1609		3628	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/976,443	SLOAN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Timothy M. Harbeck	3628

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 31 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. 🛭	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of
	this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which
	places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3
	a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following

time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Ν	IO.	TI	CE	OF	AP	Pŧ	ΞAL

2. L	The Notice of Appeal was filed on	 A brief in compliance with 37 	7 CFR 41.37 must	be filed within two mo	nths of the date	e of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37	7(a)), or any extension thereof	(37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of	f the appeal. Si	nce
	a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any re	ply must be filed within the tim	e period set forth i	n 37 CFR 41.37(a).		

AMENDMENTS

3. 🛭	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
	(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
	appeal; and/or
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. [The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
_ =	

4.		The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324
5.		Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
_ 1	$\overline{}$	

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) I will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:

Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 25-41.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. <u> </u>	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
	was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. [☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be
	entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
	showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

1.	🛛 The req	uest for reconsid	eration has been	considered but do	es NOT place th	ne application in c	condition for allow	vance because:
	See Co	ntinuation Sheet.						

12.	☐ Note the	e attached	Information	Disclosure	Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08	or PTO-1449)	Paper No(s).
13.	Other: _							

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/976,443

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations in Claims 25, 32, and 37 stating; "wherein the coaching advice is directed to the personal financial data, preferences, and financial goals of the user, and" and

"from a larger set of securities," raise new issues.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons stated in the Office Action mailed on March 29, 2005.